LIBRARY
SUPREME COURT, U. S.

Supreme Court, U. S.
FILED
SEP 11 1973
MICHAEL RODAK, JR., CLERK

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM 1973

No. 73-468

LAWRENCE H. MILLIMAN, SR.,

Petitioner,

vs.

JOHN B. FRIEDRICH, THOMAS M. MURPHY, and JOY R. MILLIMAN, a/k/a JOYBELLE R. SMITH, a/k/a J. R. ACKERSON,

Respondents.

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE

EIGHTH CIRCUIT

Lawrence H. Milliman, Sr.
Attorney Pro Se - Petitioner
8800 Tenth Ave. South
Minneapolis, Minn. 55420
Tel. No. 612-854-7853

TABLE OF CONTENTS

page

Opinions Below 2

Jurisdiction 2

Questions Presented for Review 2-3

State of the Case 4-7

Constitutional and Statutory Provisions Involved 2

United States Constitution Amendment XIV 2

Minnesota Statute 518.54 7

Minnesota Statute 518.58 7-8

Reasons for Granting the Writ 7-9

Conclusion 9

TABLE OF SUPPORTING AUTHORITIES

Marshall v. Sawyer, C.A. Nev. 1962, 301 F. 2d. 639.....

Basista v. Weir, C.A. Pa. 1965, 304 F. 2d 74.

Cohen v. Norris, C.A. Cdl. 1962, 300 F. 2d 24.

Watkins v. Oaklawn Jockey Club, D.C. Ark. 1949, 86 F. Supp. 1006 dFFIRMED 183 F. 2d 440.

Wall v. King, C.A. Mass. 1953, 206 F. 2d 878 Certiorari denied 74 S. ct. 275, 346 U.S. 915, 98 L. Ed. 410 .....

Johnson v. Hackett, D.C. Pd. 1968, 284 F..... Supp. 933.....

Shock v. Tester, C.A. Ark. 1969, 405 F. 24. 852, Certiorari Denied 89 S. ct. 1641 .....

Bottone v. Lindsey, C.A. Colo. 1948, 170 F. 2d 705, Certiorari denied 69 S.Ct. 810, 336 U.S. 944, 93 L. Ed. 1101 .....

Barnes v. Merritt, C.A. 1967, 376 F. 2d 8 ...

INDEX TO APPENDIX

page

Judgment is dated October 30, 1972 in Dakota County District Court, First JudicialDistrict State of Minnesota, File No. 72908 10-14

Transcript of Hearing, United States District Court Third Division, dated January 4, 1973, File No. 3-72 Civil 326 15-18

Order of United States District Court, Third Division, dated January 5, 1973, File No. 3-72 Civil 326 19-20

Judgment and Order of United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit dated April 17, 1973, File No. 73-1114 21-22

Order Denying Petition for Rehearing in United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit dated June 5, 1973, File No. 73-1114 23

Judgment and Decree dated March 7, 1973 in Hennepin County District Court Fourth Judicial District for the State of Minnesota File No. 14-611 24

Loan Settlement dated February 16, 1973 from First Federal Savings and Loan ..... Association of St. Paul 25

In the

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM 1973

LAWRENCE H. MILLIMAN, SR.,

Petitioner,

vs.

JOHN B. FRIEDRICH, THOMAS M. MURPHY, JOY R. MILLIMAN, A/K/A JOYBELLE R. SMITH, A/K/A J. R. ACKERSON,

Respondents.

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

TO THE HONORABLE, THE CHIEF JUSTICE AND ASSOCIATE JUSTICES OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES:

Your petitioner, a citizen of the state of Minnesota, Lawrence H. Milliman, sr., prays that Writ of Certiorari be issued to review the opinion and judgment of the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit which were entered on April 17, 1973.

OPINIONS BELOW

The Order and Judgment of the United States District Court for the State of Minnesota Third Division, entered January 4, 1973 is reproduced in the appendix, and also the entire transcript in the Court is reproduced in the appendix of this petition. The opinion and judgment of the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit entered April 17, 1973 is reproduced in the appendix. The opinion is not to be published.

JURISDICTION

The judgment of the Court below (see Appendix) was entered on April 17, 1973. A timely petition for rehearing was denied on June 5, 1973. (see Appendix) The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under Title 28 of the United States Code, Section 1254; the Vith and XIVth Section 1, Amendments to the Constitution, and pursuant to Title 28 of the U.S. Code, Section 1343 (1), (2), (3), and (4), 1443 (1) and (2) and 2201 and 2202; Title 42 of the U.S. COde Annotated 1981 through 1989 and 1994, because title, rights privileges and/or immunities are claimed under the United States Constitution and United States Statutes and have been denied your petitioner under color of state law.

QUESTIONS PRESENTED FOR REVIEW

Thisis an appeal by Lawrence H. Milliman, Sr. from an Order of the U.S.C.A. for the Eighth Circuit affirming an order of Judge Miles W. Lord dismissing his action for an injunction declaring the judgment issued by state District Court Judge John B. Friedrich dated October 30, 1972 as null and void because it violated plaintiff's right to a fair and impartial trial and that Judge Friedrich abused his discretionary powers by permitting his Court to hear and determine an issue of real estate

which was clearly in violation of Statutes enacted by the Minnesota State Legislature in the taking of property acquired during coverture M.S. 518.54 Subdivision 5 and giving it to the former spouse of four and one half years of marriage without cause, justification or need. This was also an appeal from the erratic and arrogant behavior of U.S. Judge Miles W. Lord who had evidently prejudged the case and would not allow the plaintiff to speak and present his side of the case by running off the bench.

QUESTIONS

1. Can state or federal courts deny litigants within their jurisdiction the immunities and privileges afforded United States citizens under the United States Constitution?

2. Are litigants in state domestic civil action denied the protection of the United States Constitution and/or Congressional laws?

3. Are the equal treatment under the law and due process clauses of the XIVth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States to be upheld in the Minnesota state Court and federal Courts?

4. Can a state court render its judgments based on custom and usage of state law and sex discrimination.

5. Can a state court illegally take real estate (inheritance) away from one party without just compensation when it is specifically contrary to state statutes (M.S. 518.54, subd. 5)?

6. Are such acts by state jurist deemed to be acting "under color of state law"?

7. Are such acts committed by state jurists

protected under the guise of "acting in their official capacity"?

8. Are such abusive acts deemed to be a violation of an individuals federal Constitutional and federal Civil rights?

9. Are citizens who are parties to any legal action accorded the right to speak and defend their cause in a state or federal court?

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The instant action was commenced on November 29, 1972 after state District Judge John B. Friedrich of the First Judicial District for Dakota County at Hastings, Minnesota issued a judgment dated October 30, 1972 in Civil File No. 72908 involving the following litigants: John P. Sampson and Nancy A. Sampson, husband and wife; Plaintiffs and Timberline, Inc., a Minnesota Corporation, The First National Bank of St. Paul, a national bank, Delmac Distributing, Inc., a Minnesota corporation, Lawrence H. Milliman, a/k/a L. H. Milliman, Sr., Joy R. Milliman, White House Estates, Inc., a Minnesota Corporation, Stanley Swanson, Swanson Construction Company, Inc., Burnsville Lumber Company, a Minnesota Corporation, Bruce W. Nihart, Marla B. Nihart, the Lennon Company, a Minnesota corporation, Harold Rubin d/b/a Rubin Realty Company, Carl D. Onischuk, Dakota County Auditor, and Earl V. Zehnder, Dakota County Treasurer, also all other persons unknown claiming any right, title, estate, interest, or lien in the real estate described in the complaint herein; Defendants, to determine ownership to that certain parcel of real estate legally described as:

> Lot 38, Block 4, Oslund's Timberline Addition to Dakota County, state of Minnesota.

Paragraph #10 of said judgment states as follows

"That whatever interests, rights, title or claim: defendant, Lawrence Milliman, a/k/a L. H. Milliman, Sr., may have had heretofore in and to said real estate have heretofore been awarded and vested in defendant, Joy R. Milliman, and the said defendant, Lawrence H. Milliman, a/k/a L. H. Milliman, Sr., has no right, title, interest of claim herein."

divesting Mr. L. H. Milliman, Sr. of any claim to that real estate.

Paragraph #11 states as follows:

"That Mr. L. H. Milliman, Sr. inherited approximately Thirty Nine Thousand ($39,000.00) Dollars which was deposited into the White House Estates, Inc. account, a Minnesota corporation which was organized by L. H. Milliman, Sr. prior to his second marriage to Joy R. Milliman, a/k/a Joybelle R. Smith, a/k/a J.R. Ackerson.

During the trial of May 4, 1972 and September 5, 1972, Judge Friedrich deliberately stopped Mr. L. H. Milliman, Sr. from speaking and the presentation of his case. It was apparent from the beginning that this case had been prejudged by Friedrich and it was to be a "production" where these elements of the "Bar" would steal the house built by Mr. L. H. Milliman, Sr., contractor, from his inheritance in order to pay the legal fees for the numerous crooked divorce attorneys who were at this trial.

Subsequently, on February 16, 1973, the ex-wife, Joybelle R. Milliman, and her attorneys completed the sale of the property evidenced by the closing statement (see p. 25) and she and her attorneys of the firm of Nielsen, Stock and Blackburn, Ltd. of Minneapolis, Minnesota received

Twenty Thousand One Hundred Fifty Two and 72/100 ($20,152.72).

On January 4, 1973 in the U.S. Courthouse in Minneapolis, Minnesota before Judge Miles W. Lord, Bruce W. Blackburn was allowed to speak and present his argument and during this short presentation, Judge Lord mentioned that he had read the file and that he would sign an Order. Mr. L. H. Milliman, Sr. arose to present his argument that these attorneys had conspired to steal his inheritance contrary to Minnesota State Law from him by the use of the state court under Judge Friedrich.

Judge Miles W. Lord got up and made a bee-line off the bench as though he had something more important to do than to hear out a citizen with a grievance present his case. (see p. 15-18)a transcript of hearing) For those people in the U.S. Courtroom that day it was thought that Judge Lord "had to answer Nature's call" in his abrupt haste to leave the room.

This was not true, as a marshall and the court reporter came over to Mr. L. H. Milliman, Sr. to say that Judge Lord would not be returning to the courtroom, and that Mr. L. H. Milliman, Sr.'s trial was over.

Upon leaving the courtroom, one of the young attorneys said that that was one of the speediest justices he had ever seen.

Then to show the complete impartiality of the Minneapolis Divorce court business, Mr. Blackburn obtained another Judgment and Decree (see p. 24) issued this time by District Judge Crane Winton in the original divorce action giving him, Mr. Blackburn Ten Thousand ($10,000.00) Dollars from Mr. Milliman; denying all other relief, despite the fact that they had just confiscated the fruits of Mr. L. H. Milliman, Sr.'s

labors and inheritance in excess of Thirty Nine Thousand ($39,000.00) Dollars.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT

The petitioner maintains that his right to a fair and impartial trial; to equal protection under the laws and to the Due process clause were violated in the state court trial. That petitioner was absolutely and unequivocally denied his right to present his argument and position in the U.S. District Court. That it was apparent that there was more than a casual knowledge and relationship between the various trial judges, because of the similarity of the treatment accorded the petitioner in the state and federal courts. It was clear and evident that the state district court judge wantonly ignored M.S. 518.54 Subd. 5. "Property acquired during coverture. Except as provided in this subdivision, "property acquired during coverture" means any property, real or personal, acquired by the parties, or either of them, to a divorce or annulment pro ceeding at any time during the existence of the marriage relation between them, or at any time during which the parties were living together as husband and wife under a purported marriage relationship which is annulled in an annulment proceedings. "Property acquired during coverture" does not include any property real or personal, acquired by either spouse before, during, or after coverture, where said property is acquired as a gift, bequest devise or inheritance made by a third party to one but not to the other spouse, or any property transferred from one spouse to the other."

The Minnesota State Legislature went further and set out in M.S. 518.58 Disposition of Property Acquired During Coverture. "Upon a divorce

for any cause, or upon an annulment, the court may make suchdisposition of the property of the parties acquired during coverture as shall appear just and equitable, having regard to the nature and determination of the issues in the case, the amount of alimony or support money, if any, awarded in the judgment, the manner by which said property was acquired and the persons paying or supplying the consideration therefor, the charges or liens imposed thereon to secure payment of alimony or support money, and all the facts and circumstances of the case."

It apparently was a deliberate effort to take from petitioner an approximate Forty Nine Thousand Nine Hundred Eleven ($49,911.00) Dollars in assets and then allow the so-called public servants to divest the petitioner of his assets and rights secured by Minnesota State Statutes. This sequestration and/or seizure of a citizen's property and money is not an isolated incident but a common practice under the color of state law and protected and fostered by the unscrupulous legal profession in the Minnesota State Courts and judicial system. Sex discrimination is rampant and directed solely against and to disenfranchise the male citizens who are involved in domestic relations litigation in the Minnesota judicial system. The attorneys and judges of the state of Minnesota have obstructed the administration of justice, and harassed our people and have eaten out their substance, and conspired with others to intimidate our citizens, and have completely ignored our constitution and failed as officers of the courts to enforce the laws enacted by the duly elected legislature, and your petitioner has petitioned for redress in the most humble terms and has been answered by repeated injuries and has appealed to their native justice and magnanimity but they have been deaf

to the ears of justice by the tyrants of the state bench and bar.

It has become necessary for your petitioner to defend his rights and to seek out justice since competent legal counsel will not protect our citizen's rights and property.

CONCLUSION

In the final summation and for the forementioned acts and/or ommissions all consummated in the color of state law and in the so-called 'public interest', the writ should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

BY:

/s/ Lawrence H. Milliman, Sr.

LAWRENCE H. MILLIMAN, SR.
Petitioner - Attorney Pro Se
8800 - 10th Avenue South
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55420
(612) 854-7853

APPENDIX

STATE OF MINNESOTA
COUNTY OF DAKOTA

DISTRICT COURT
FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

John P. Sampson and Nancy A. Sampson, husband and wife,
Plaintiffs,

File No. 72908

vs.

JUDGMENT

Timberline, Inc., a Minnesota Corporation, et al.,
Defendants.

The above entitled matter came on for trial before Hon. John B. Fiedrich at General Term of this Court, in the Courthouse at Hastings, Minnesota, on the 4th day of May, 1972, and following the deposition of certain Motions and service of Summons and Complaints upon additional defendants pursuant to the Order of this Court, the matter was again brought on for trial before this Court on September 5, 1972. Thomas M. Murphy, Esq., appearing on behalf of the plaintiffs, and appearances on behalf of the defendants were as follows: Jerome Kluck, Esq., Dakota County Attorney for Carl D. Onischuk, Dakota County Auditor and Earl V. Zehnder, Dakota County Treasurer; Gerald Svoboda, Esq., for the First National Bank of St. Paul; Bruce W. Blckburn, Esq. for Joy R. Milliman; Arthur J. Stock, Esq. for White House Estates, Inc., A. H. Michals, Esq. for Parker Electric Company, Inc.; Donald F. Giblin, Esq. for Rubin Realty Company; Al Bergsten, Esq. for Burnsville Lumber Company; Edward M. Christian, Esq. for Imperial Developers, Inc., Gopher Heating and Sheet Metal, Inc., and Raymond E. Haeg Plumbing, Inc. M. J.

Priestly, Esq. for Crawford Door Company, Daniel Cypher, Pro Se, Lawrence H. Milliman, a/k/a L. H. Milliman, Pro Se, Bruce W. Nihart, Pro Se, and Marla B. Nihart, Pro Se. The Court having heard all of the testimony of the parties, the Court having considered the pleading herein and the argument of counsel, and being fully advised in the premises, did on the 24th day of October, 1972 duly make and file its Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order for Judgment herein.

NOW THEREFORE, pursuant to said Order, it is hereby adjudged that:

1. That defendant, Timberline, Inc., is the owner in fee simple of the following described real estate located in Dakota County, to-wit:

> Lot Thirty-eight (38), Block Four (4) Oslund Timberline Addition, Dakota County, state of Minnesota

subject however, to the liens, interest and rights of others as herein determined.

2. That the County of Dakota has a valid lien against said real estate for the payment of delinquent real estate taxes for the years 1968 through 1971, current real estate taxes for the year 1972, if unpaid, and for any unpaid installments of special assessments that have become due.

3. That the first National Bank of Saint Paul has two first mortgage liens against said real estate as evidenced by those certain mortgages dated December 15, 1961, and September 10, 1965, respectively, and filed in the office of the Register of Deeds in and for Dakota County, in Book 248 of Mortgages at p. 60 and Book 223 of Mortgages, p. 287, respectively; that as to the two said mortgages the mortgage dated December 15, 1961, has priority over the mortgage dated September 10, 1965; that

said First National Bank of Saint Paul has agreed to release said real estate mortgage from the liens of both said mortgages upon the receipt of $4,000.00.

4. That the following Defendants each have a valid lien against said real estate to secure payment to them of the following amounts set against their respective names, and upon payment of said amounts their liens shall be satisfied and extinguished, to wit:

Burnsville Lumber Company	$5,157.90
Parker Electric Co., Inc.	4,700.00
Raymond E. Haeg Plumbing, Inc.	3,190.00
Gopher Heating & Sheet Metal, Inc.	585.00
Imperial Developers, Inc.	251.50
Daniel Cypher	200.00
Crawford Door Company	181.00

5. That the priority of the foregoing liens is as follows, to wit;

(a) First and paramount is the lien of the County of Dakota for real estate taxes as aforesaid;

(b) Second are the two mortgage liens of the First National Bank of Saint Paul;

(c) Third is the lien of Burnsville Lumber Company

(d) Fourth and co-equal as a class; Parker Electric Co., Inc.; Raymond E. Haeg Plumbing, Inc.; gopher Heating and Sheet Metal, Inc.; Imperial Developers, Inc.; Daniel Cypher; and Crawford Door Company.

6. That the title of defendant, Timberline, Inc., is subject to a valid and enforceable contract to sell and convey the fee title to said real estate to Defendant, White House Estates, Inc., for a total consideration of $5,800.00, of which $1,000.00

has heretofore been paid, free and clear of the mortgage liens of the First National Bank of Saint Paul, but subject however, to:

(a) The Lien of the County of Dakota for delinquent and current real estate taxes and unpaid installments of special assessments that have become due; provided, however, that said Timberline, Inc., shall pay $484.17 of such unpaid real estate taxes and special assessments.

7. That the rights and interest of Defendant, White House Estate, Inc., to said real estate and to receive the fee title thereto is subject to a valid and enforceable contract to sell and convey the fee title to said real estate to Plaintiffs, John P. Sampson and Nancy A. Sampson for a total consideration of $46,000.00 free and clear of all liens, interests, and rights therein save and except only the lien of the County of Dakota for real estate taxes payable in 1972 and real estate taxes and special assessments for work completed after July 1, 1971, and payable thereafter; that the said Plaintiffs are ready, willing and able to perform in accordance with such contract.

8. That defendant, Rubin REalty Company, is entitled to a commission in the amount of $2,300.00 upon the consumation of the sale and conveyance of the fee title to said real estate to the said Plaintiffs; that such commission shall thereupon be payable by defendant, White House Estates, Inc.

9. That the following Defendants have no right, title or interest whatsoever in and to said real estate, to-wit: Stanley Swanson, d/b/a Stanley Swanson Construction Company; Henry L. Johnson; Delmac Distributing, Inc.; the Lennon Company; Bruce W. Nihart, and Marla B. Nihart.

10. That whatever interests, rights, title or claims Defendant Lawrence Milliman, a/k/a L. H.

Milliman, Sr., may have had heretofore in and to said real estate have heretofore been awarded and vested in Defendant Joy R. Milliman, and the said Defendant, Lawrence H. Milliman, a/k/a L. H. Milliman, Sr., has no right, title, interest or claim herein.

11. That upon conveyance of fee title to said real estate to the said plaintiffs, the net proceeds payable by said plaintiffs remaining after payment of expenses of sale and after satisfaction of the liens and interests as set forth in Conclusions #2, 3, 4, and 8 shall be payable to Defendants White House Estates, Inc., and Joy R. Milliman.

12. That save and except the right, title and interests determined herein, all claims and actions asserted herein by any party against any other party hereto should be dismissed.

13. That each party hereto shall bear his own costs herein.

(Seal) Nick Vujovich
CLERK OF THE DISTRICT COURT

Dated this 30th day of
October, 1972.

BY: Dorothy Wopland
CHIEF DEPUTY

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA
THIRD DIVISION

Lawrence H. Milliman, Sr.,
Plaintiff,
vs. No. 3-72 Civ. 326
John B. Friedrich, Thomas M. Murphy and Joy R. Milliman, a/k/a Joybelle R. Smith, a/k/a J. R. Ackerson,
Defendants.

Minneapolis, Minnesota
January 4, 1973

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
O.C. Breviu
OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
542 U.S. Court House
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55401
Telephone 335-0119

Transcript of proceedings had in the above-entitled matter, heard by the Honorable Miles W. Lord, one of the judges of said Court, in Court Room No. 1, United States Court House, Minneapolis, Minnesota, on Thursday, the 4th day of January, 1973, at 9:25 o'clock, a.m.

APPEARANCES:

On Behalf of the Plaintiff

Lawrence R. Milliman, pro se.

On Behalf of Defendant Friedrich

William H. Kuretsky, Special Assistant Attorney General, State of Minnesota St. Paul, Minnesota.

On Behalf of Defendant Murphy

Arnold E. Kempe, Esq., West St. Paul, Minnesota.

On Behalf of Defendant Joy R. Milliman

Bruce W. Blackburn, Esq., Minneapolis, Minnesota.

--

THEREUPON, the following proceedings were duly had:

THE COURT: Mr. Milliman, Bruce Blackburn.

MR. BLACKBURN: Present. Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Just come up and note your appearances. William -- how do you say that?

MR. KURETSKY: Kuretsky.

THE CLERK: And also Arnold Kempe.

THE COURT: And Arnold Kempe. Where is he?

MR. KEMPE: HEre, Your Honor.

MR. BLACKBURN: I am Bruce W. Blackburn, attorney in Minneapolis, Minnesota, representing the defendant in this matter, Joybelle R. Smith.

THE COURT: now, give me a moment here. Go ahead.

MR. BlACKBURN: Your Honor, this morning you have before you a motion on behalf of the defendant that I represent, Joybelle R. Smith, to dismiss the complaint of the plaintiff on the basis that this Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction, and, secondly, that the complaint does not state a claim upon which relief can be granted, and, thirdly, that this matter has previously been before this

Court and has been previously adjudicated.

This action by the plaintiff arises as stated in his complaint, out of an action in the State Court, that is, the District Court for Dakota County in the State of Minnesota. It was an action to determine adverse claims that was commenced by a couple by the name of John Sampson and Nancy Sampson, and they were represented in that action by one of the defendants in this case, Thomas M. Murphy, an attorney admitted to practice in the State of Minnesota, whose offices are in West St. Paul. This was an action, pursuant to a state statute, to clear up title to property. The plaintiff in this case was named as one of the defendants in this case in the State Court.

However, previously in an action for divorce in the Hennepin County District Court, which had been commenced by Lawrence H. Milliman, Sr., who is also the plaintiff here this morning, the title to this particular piece of property in Dakota County had come up, as to the matter of ownership of the property as between Lawrence H. Milliman, Sr., and at that time Joy R. Milliman, my client, who is now Joy R. Smith, and the Hennepin County District Court had awarded whatever interest Mr. Milliman might have had in that property to Mrs. Smith.

But it did become necessary for the Sampsons to commence this action in Dakota County, and they did so, and a number of claimants filed pleadings in the case. And we went on for trial on May 4, 1972. And Lawrence Milliman, Sr., appeared at that time, and approximately at noon of that day he filed a pleading, which was his first formal appearance in that case.

Now, the pleading that he had filed was labeled as an answer, but it did not assert a claim of ownership on his part to this particular parcel of real estate. And in the course of the proceedings,

Judge Friedrich, who was the trial judge, and who is also one of the defendants in this case, ruled that as between --

THE COURT: I have read this file, such as it is. Do you have an order drawn?

MR. BLACKBURN: Yes, I do.

THE COURT: Will you hand it to me, Please?

MR. BLACKBURN: Your Honor, I might add that my order grants the motion as to all of the defendants.

(To Mr. Kempe) So if you have asimilar order--

MR. KEMPE: I am happy to accept the order.

THE COURT: The first order will suffice. Look it over. If it doesn't, you can step by.

We will recess now. The case is over, Mr. Milliman. You can go now.

MR. MILLIMAN: Your Honor, may I speak for just a moment?

THE COURT: No. Court is adjourned.

--

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I certify the foregoing pages of typewritten matter to be a true and correct transcript of the proceedings had in the above-entitled matter on the dated indicated.

Oswald C. Breviu

Official Court Reporter

ORDER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA
THIRD DIVISION

Lawrence H. Milliman, Sr.,
Plaintiff,

No. 3-72 Civ. 326

vs.

John B. Friedrich, Thomas M. Murphy and Joy R. Milliman, a/k/a Joybelle R. Smith, a/k/a J. R. Ackerson,
Defendants.

You are hereby notified that in the above entitled case, on the 5th day of January, 1973, we filed the Order of dismissal, providing defendants have judgment for their costs, and defendant Joybelle R. Smith have judgment for attorneys' fees in sum of $250.00. (Lord, J.) Judgment has been entered pursuant to the foregoing order.

Harry A. Sieben, Clerk

BY: Viola Haukness
Viola Haukness
Deputy Clerk

TO: Lawrence H. Milliman, Sr.,
8800 10th Ave. S.
Minneapolis, Mn. 55420
Attorney for pro se

Bruce W. Blackburn
415 Seven-Thirty Building
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
Attorney for Joy Smith

Arnold E. Kempe
1- Signal Hills
West St. Paul, Mn. 55118
Attorney for Thomas M. Murphy

Hon. Warren Spannaus, Mr. Thomas R. Muck, 160 State Office Building, St. Paul, Minnesota 55155

Note: If an appeal is contemplated, the Rules of the United States Court of Appeals and "Suggestions to Attorneys Concerning Appellate Rules & Practice" can be procured from the Clerk, United State Court of Appeals, U.S. Court House and Customs House, St. Louis, Missouri.

JUDGMENT AND ORDER

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

Lawrence H. Milliman, Sr., Appellant

File No. 73-1114

vs.

John B. Friedrich, Thomas M. Murphy, and Joy R. Milliman, a/k/a Joybelle R. Smith a/k/a J. R. Ackerson,
Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota.

Before GIBSON, LAY and STEPHENSON, Circuit Judges.

Filed: April 17, 1973

ORDER

This appeal is before the screening panel pursuant to appellees' motion to dismiss the appeal under Local Rule 8.

This appeal is from an order by the Honorable Miles W. Lord dismissing appellant's civil rights action against appellees, a District Judge for the State of Minnesota, appellant's former wife, and her attorney. A thorough review of the record in this case convinces us that the questions

presented for review are so unsubstantial as not to need further argument and that summary affirmance is appropriate.

The state judge was acting in his official capacity and therefore is entitled to judicail immunity in the action he took and the remaining appellees were not acting "under color of law."

The order of the District Court is therefore affirmed and this appeal is dismissed with prejudice at appellant's cost.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

No. 73-1114. September Term, 1972

Lawrence H. Milliman, Sr., Appellant,

vs.

John B. Friedrich, et al, Appellees.

Petition of appellant for rehearing filed in this cuase having been considered, it is ordered by the Court that the same be, and it is hereby, denied.

June 5, 1973

JUDGEMENT AND DECREE

STATE OF MINNESOTA DISTRICT COURT

COUNTY OF HENNEPIN FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Lawrence H. Milliman, Sr.,
Planitiff,

File No. 14-611
March 7, 1973

vs.

Joybelle R. Milliman,
Defendant

Pursuant to the Order of the Court on file herein and on motion of Bruce W. Blackburn, Esquire, attorney for defendant,

IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

1. That defendant have and recover of plaintiff the sum of Ten Thousand ($10,000.00) Dollars, the amount ordered.

2. That the other relief sought by the defendant be, and the same hereby is, in all things denied.

BY THE COURT:

GERALD R. NELSON
Clerk of District Court

(Seal)

JUDGMENT ROLL
Filed March 7, 1973
at 11:20 A.M.
Gerald R. Nelson, Clerk

FIRST FEDERAL SAVINGS & LOAN ASSOCIATION OF SAINT PAUL

LOAN SETTLEMENT STATEMENT

To SAMPSON, JOHN P. (Name of Borrower) 2-16-73 (Date)

3601 Pinebridge Dr. - Eagan (Location of Property)

Application No 6817 Date of Loan Papers 2-16-73

Your application for a real estate loan has been approved, and we submit herewith for your information the terms of the loan, and the expenses thereof, whether charged to you by the association, paid for you by the association, or paid by you to some person other than the association.

Loan No [redacted] Amount $ 31,000.00 Rate of interest 2-1/2%

Each monthly Payment of $ 130.76 is due on the 15th day of each month, beginning on March 19 73

Monthly principal and interest payment	$ 216.76
Monthly tax and insurance payment	$
Total payment	$

A Amount of Loan $ 31,000.00

Amount Received From You $ [redacted]16,000.00

1,353.19

Total Amount Credited To Your Account $ 46,353.19

B We have charged or will charge to your account for expenses incurred in connection with this loan, the following items:

DISBURSEMENTS

DATE PD	PAYEE	PURPOSE	CHECK #	AMOUNT
2-16-73	First Federal Savings and Loan	Loan Costs		220.40
2-16-73	First Federal Savings and Loan	February Interest		90.42
2-16-73	First Federal Savings and Loan	Sellers Costs		402.50
2-16-73	Dakota County Treasurer	Taxes and Assessments		5,944.22
2-16-73	White House Estates	Net Proceeds of Sale		20,152.72
2-16-73	Timberline, Inc.	Per Deed		4,253.23
2-16-73	Burnsville Lumber Co.	Satisfaction of Lien		5,157.90
2-16-73	Parker Electric Company	Satisfaction of Lien		4,700.00
2-16-73	Raymond E. Haag Plumbing, Inc.	Satisfaction of Lien		3,190.00
2-16-73	Cypher Heating and Sheet Metal	Satisfaction of Lien		545.00
2-16-73	Imperial Developers, Inc.	Satisfaction of Lien		251.50
2-16-73	Daniel Cypher	Satisfactionof Lien		200.00
2-16-73	Crawford Door Company	Satisfaction of Lien		181.00
2-16-73	Rubin Realty	R. E. Commission		1,300.00
2-16-73	First Federal Savings and Loan	Escrow for 1973 Taxes		45.30
		TOTAL FUNDS DISBURSED		46,353.19